

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,184	07/20/2001	Joseph Porat	205,073	1503	
7	590 06/04/2003				
ABELMAN FRAYNE & SCHWAB			EXAMINER		
Attorney at Lav 150 East 42nd	Street		STINSON, F	RANKIE L	
New York, NY	10017		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1746	\sim	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				46			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	.,,-			
Office Action Summary		09/910,184	PORAT, JOSEPH				
		Examin r	Art Unit				
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746				
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	app ars on the cover sh et wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und			is			
· -	ion of Claims						
4)[2]	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the applica						
د/ ا	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>31-33</u> is/are without	irawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-18 and 24-30 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>19-23</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement					
	ion Papers	divor election requirement.					
· _	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
_	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ a	<u></u>	ne Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	sapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐: Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	oplication No				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 🗚	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applicati	on).			
) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom						
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/910,184

Art Unit: 1746

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I: Claims 1-30, drawn to an automated power-driven pool cleaning apparatus, classified in Class 134, subclass.

Group II: Claims 31-33, drawn to method for controlling movement of cleaning apparatus, classified in Class 134, subclass 18.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as apparatus and process for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as transporting objects from one place to another or sensing an object in a pipe or room.

- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Thomas E. Spath on January 10, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/910,184

Art Unit: 1746

30. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 31-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

Application/Control Number: 09/910,184 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 8, 9, 11, 18 and 24-28 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Erlich et al.

Note Erlich, as at col. 21, lines 45-67 thru col. 22, lines 1-64, where Erlich discloses a pool cleaner comprising an automated power-driven pool cleaning apparatus comprising a programmable control device for detecting movement, a motion translating member (i.e. the non-driven supporting wheel and/or auxiliary wheel, col. 22 line 25), a signal transmitter/receiver (incorporated in the IC --Integrated Circuit--device), wherein the control device is programmed to change the direction of movement of the apparatus when the out put of the sensor indicates that the apparatus has not moved in a prescribed period of time (the predetermined delay period, col. 22 line 52-53). Also note the light device as discussed at col. 22, lines 58-64.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1746

9. Claims 6, 7, 29 and 30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erlich et al.

Claim 6 defines over Erlich only in the recitation of the traction surface being made of a polymeric material. Nonetheless, no patentable distinction is deemed to exist between the traction surface as inherently taught by Erlich, and the polymeric material as claimed. This is also applicable to the subject matter of claims 7, 29 and 30, namely, with regard to claim 7, the motion translating member (mtm) being spherical (Erlich teaches the "mtm" being a wheel); with regard to claim 29, the light source and photocell as claimed (Erlich teaches an IR light source and light sensor) and with regard to claim 30, the prescribed time period of 5 seconds as claimed (Erlich teaches a programmable time period of 1.5 to 3 minutes).

10. Claims 10, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Erlich et al. in view of Minami et al.

Claim 10 defines over Erlich only in the recitation of the transmitter being mounted on the periphery of the wheel. Minami is cited disclosing in an automated power-driven pool cleaning apparatus, a wheel (42, see figs. 2 and 13 for example) having a transmitter (44) located at its periphery. It therefore would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the device of Erlich, to employ a wheel and transmitter as taught by Minami, for the purpose of ensuring proper indication of the movement of the power-driven apparatus. Re claim 12, Minami discloses the sensor being mounted on the apparatus and being located both proximate and distanced the transmitter (as the wheel rotates). Re claim 13, Minami discloses the magnet (as at 44

Application/Control Number: 09/910,184

Art Unit: 1746

and in Erlich as at col. 22, line 22). Re claim 14, Erlich discloses the reed switch as at col. 22, line 27. Re claims 15 and 16, Erlich discloses the permanent magnet proximate the reed switch as claimed. Re claim 17, Minami discloses that a plurality of magnets may be positioned around the rim of the wheel.

- 11. Claims 19-23 stand objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. In Wulc, Henricksen, Nystrom, Babcock, Myers, Strausak, Pansini, Davidsson et al., Erlich, and Japan'251, note the powered-driven pool cleaning devices.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The examiner can normally be reached during the first week of the pay-period M-F from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and during the second week of the pay-period from Tu-Th second from 5:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. and on Fri. from 5:30 a.m. to 2:00 p.m. Alternating Mondays off.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Art Unit: 1746

Page 7

Any inquiry for missing parts of this Office Action (copies of references, pages, forms etc.), contact Office Manger Ms. Sandra Sewell (703) 308-0661.

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746